IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE AT KNOXVILLE

ANDREW McKEVITZ,)	
Plaintiff,)	
V.)	Case No.
CAPITAL ALLIANCE GROUP, CAPITAL ALLIANCE PARTNERS, LLC, NARIN CHARANVATTANAKIT aka NARIN CHARAN and MARK MENDOZA))))	JURY DEMAND
Defendants.)	

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, Andrew McKevitz, through counsel, to file this complaint, and states as follows:

Parties, Jurisdiction and Venue

- 1. Plaintiff Andrew McKevitz was at all times mentioned herein a citizen and resident of Maryville, Blount County, Tennessee.
- 2. Defendant Capital Alliance Group is a company incorporated in California, with its principle place of business and headquarters at 1950 East 17th, 3rd Floor, #300, Santa Ana, Orange County, California 92705. Its registered agent for service of process is Registered Agent: Business Filings Incorporated, 818 West 7th Street, Suite 930, Los Angeles,

- California 90017. Capital Alliance Group is not registered to do business in the state of Tennessee.
- 3. Defendant Capital Alliance Partners, LLC is a California limited liability company, with its principle place of business and headquarters at 1950 East 17th, 3rd Floor, #300, Santa Ana, Orange County, California 92705. Its registered agent for service of process is Registered Agent: Business Filings Incorporated, 818 West 7th Street, Suite 930, Los Angeles, California 90017. Capital Alliance Partners, LLC is not registered to do business in the state of Tennessee.
- 4. Defendant Narin Charanvattanakit aka Narin Charan is a member, owner, officer, director and agent for Capital Alliance Group and Capital Alliance Partners LLC. He may be served at 1950 East 17th, 3rd Floor, #300, Santa Ana, Orange County, California 92705.
- 5. Defendant Mark Mendoza is a member, owner, officer, director and agent for Capital Alliance Group and Capital Alliance Partners LLC. He may be served at 1950 East 17th, 3rd Floor, #300, Santa Ana, Orange County, California 92705.
- 6. This Court has jurisdiction over the action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 in that this actions arises under a United States federal statute, specifically the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.,

- ("TCPA"). The TCPA specifically authorizes this Court to exercise jurisdiction.
- 7. This Court has personal jurisdiction and venue over the Defendants because Defendants transacted business within this judicial district, having made contacts within this judicial district, and/or have committed tortious acts within this judicial district.

Facts

- 8. Plaintiff Andrew McKevitz ("McKevitz") brings this Complaint for damages relating to violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C § 227 et seq., ("TCPA"), resulting from illegal actions of the Defendants, in negligently, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff through "robocalls" (calls using an automatic telephone-dialing system without prior express consent) and/or other means.
- Defendants Capital Alliance Group and Capital Alliance Partners, LLC
 engaged in telemarketing utilizing robocalls and other forms of telephone
 solicitation that violated Mr. McKevitz's privacy rights pursuant to the
 TCPA.
- 10.Defendants Narin Charanvattanakit aka Narin Charan ("Charan") and Mark Mendoza ("Mendoza") personally directed the violations of the

- TCPA for their own benefit and are thus personally liable for the violations.
- 11.Mr. McKevitz also brings an action against Defendant Defendant Narin Charanvattanakit aka Narin Charan ("Charan") for libel based upon a knowingly false statement with reckless disregard to the truth in a Facebook post.

Violations of Telephone Consumer Protection Act based on Robocalls

- 12.Defendants deliberately decided to engage in bulk marketing by using an automatic telephone-dialing system to place robocalls to potential customers.
- 13.Unlike standard advertising methods, bulk advertising by use of robocalls cost recipients money, because cellular telephone users typically pay for their cell phone service.
- 14. Over the course of an extended period beginning no later than April of 2015, Defendants and its agents directed a mass transmission of robocalls to cell phones of persons they hoped were potential customers of Defendants' services.
- 15.On or about April 13, 2015, Mr. McKevitz received an unsolicited robocall from Defendants to his wireless telephone in Tennessee. Mr. McKevitz has previously registered his cellular telephone number ending in -5310 on

- the National Do-Not-Call List in order to eliminate telemarketing calls. It stated that the call was from Capital Alliance and was soliciting lending services.
- 16.Mr. McKevitz received twenty-three (23) additional calls from Defendants and/or their agents on May 14, 2015, May 18, 2015, May 21, 2015, June 5, 2015, October 2, 2015, October 9, 2015, December 4, 2015, March 22, 2016, June 27, 2016, January 27, 2017, February 9, 2017, April 6, 2017, May 2, 2017, May 4, 2017, June 13, 2017, June 21, 2017, July 26, 2017, September 1, 2017, October 2, 2017, November 3, 2017, November 29, 2017 and November 30, 2017.
- 17.Plaintiff provided no consent to receive these calls, which were made by Defendants in an effort to promote the sale of lending services.
- 18. The unsolicited phone calls were placed to Mr. McKevitz's cellular telephone via an "automatic telephone dialing system," ("ATDS") as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(1).
- 19. "The term 'unsolicited advertisement' means any material advertising the commercial availability or quality of any property, goods, or services which is transmitted to any person without that person's prior express invitation or permission, in writing or otherwise." 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(5).

- 20. "The term 'telephone soliciation' means the initiation of a telephone call or message for the purpose of encouraging the or rental of, or investment in, property, goods, or services, which is transmitted to any person, but such term does not include a call or message (A) to any person with that person's prior express invitation or permission, (B) to any person with whom the caller has an established business relationship, or (C) by a tax exempt nonprofit organization." 47 U.S.C. § 227(a)(4).
- 21. The telephone numbers to which the Defendants, or its agents, placed the robocalls were assigned to cellular telephone services pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 22.Mr. McKevitz did not provide the Defendants or its agents express consent to receive unsolicited robocalls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(B).
- 23. The calls by Defendants or its agents therefore violated 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 24.Each such robocall was made using equipment that, upon information and belief, had the capacity to store or produce telephone numbers to be called, using a random or sequential number generator, or a system that otherwise qualified as an automatic telephone dialing system under the TCPA. By using such equipment, Defendants were able to effectively place thousands

- of robocalls to thousands of wireless phone numbers of consumers without human intervention. These calls were made without prior consent of Mr. McKevitz.
- 25. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendants and its agents violated 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 26.As a result of the violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., Mr. McKevitz is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each violation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 27.Upon information and belief, Defendants' violations of TCPA were willful and/or knowing. Accordingly, should the Court find that the violations were willful rather than negligent, Mr. McKevitz is entitled to have the award increased to an amount not more than three (3) times the \$500.00 liquidated damages amount, or \$1,500.00 per violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B and C).

<u>Violations of Telephone Consumer Protection Act based on Subscriber</u> <u>Privacy Rights</u>

- 28.TCPA provides additional statutory damages based upon subscriber privacy rights pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(c).
- 29. During the early 1990's, Congress passed the TCPA that set up a national database to list telephone numbers that did not want to receive

solicitation calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(c)(3). As discussed supra, Mr. McKevitz registered his cell number on the National Do-Not-Call list years before he received the first solicitation call from the Defendants.

- 30.Defendants ignored the readily available National Do-Not-Call list and proceeded to solicit Mr. McKevitz on 24 separate occasions.
- 31. The recipient of a telemarketing call, regardless of the call being a robocall or placed an actual person, has a private right of action when more than one telephone solicitation occurs within any 12-month period pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(c)(5). This action is independent of the abovementioned auto-dialer and provides additional damages.
- 32.Here, the Defendants, or its agents, initiated telephone solicitation on 24 separate occasions from April 13, 2015 to November 30, 2017. The time between the numerous solicitations was less than 12 months. Therefore, Defendants violated the Act on 24 separate occasions.
- 33.A defense to this particular private right of action is for the telemarketer to establish and implement, "with due care, reasonable practices and procedures to effectively prevent telephone solicitations" pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(c)(5)(C). Typically, reasonable practices include the solicitor developing its own do-not-call list for the robocall equipment

- and the live callers. However, Defendants have a track record of ignoring due care with its practices and procedures.
- 34.In Bee, Denning, Inc., et al v. Capital Alliance and Narin Charanvattanikit, United States District Court, Southern District of California docket # 3:13-cv-02654 (a class action lawsuit against Capital Alliance Group and Mr. Charan also relating to violations of TCPA that was settled), the Court ruled as part of the settlement that the Defendants shall:
 - a. Establish written procedure of TCPA compliance;
 - b. Conduction annual training sessions directed to TCPA compliance;
 - c. Maintain a list of telephone numbers of persons who request not to be contacted;
 - d. Subscribe to a version of the National Do-Not-Call registry obtained no more than three months prior to the date any call is made (with records documenting such compliance);
 - e. Establish internal processes to ensure that successors do not sell, rent, lease, purchase or use the do-not-call list database in any manner except in compliance with TCPA regulations;
 - f. Scrub for cellular telephones before making autodialed calls or calls made with an artificial voice or use of prerecorded messages;

- g. Not call cellular telephones prior to receipt of the express written permission of the intended recipient, including the intended recipient's signature;
- h. All prerecorded messages, whether delivered by automated dialing equipment or not, must identify the Defendants or any successor entity, and specific "d/b/a" as an entity responsible for initiating the call, along with the telephone number that can be used during normal business hours to ask not to be called again;
- i. All fax transmissions that include "unsolicited advertisements" as defined in 47 U.S.C. 227(a)(4) must be preceded by a receipt of express written permission of the intended recipient, including intended recipient's signature; and,
- j. Successors must maintain records demonstrating that recipients have provided such express permission to send fax advertisements.
 (See Document 81 of Bee, Denning, Inc., et al v. Capital Alliance Group and Narin Charanvattanikit, Order Granting Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Motion for Attorney Fees and Incentive Awards, filed November 18, 2016).
- 35.Mr. McKevitz has received telephone solicitation from the Defendants from mid-2015 to late-2017. The abovementioned Order in the *Bee*,

Denning, Inc. matter was filed on November 11, 2016. The Defendants continued to solicit Mr. McKevitz for over a year after the California Court ordered them to adhere to reasonable practices and procedures in order to eliminate illegal telephone solicitations.

- 36.In addition, Mr. McKevitz, personally and through counsel, asked the Defendants on several occasions, without success, to provide documentation of their telephone solicitation practices and procedures. Obviously, the Defendants have ignored the specific requirements in the *Bee, Denning, Inc.* order of settlement.
- 37. The damages are \$500.00 for each illegal call pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(c)(5)(B). The court may treble the damages up to \$1,500.00 for willful and knowing violations which, in light of the abovementioned, the Defendants are certainly performing willful and knowing violations of Mr. McKevitz's privacy rights. Therefore, the Court should treble the damages for each illegal solicitation pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 227(c)(5)(C).

Narin Charanvattanakit's Defamation of Andrew McKevitz

38.Mr. Charan posted the following libelous comment on the "Stephen H. Byrd, Attorney-at-Law" Facebook page on January 4, 2018 (Exhibit 1):

"Stephen H. Byrd and Andrew McKevitz of Montvale Air Park abuse the legal process and employ extortion and harassment tactics

- for profit. They systematically leverage the cost and inconvenience of the legal process to force business owners to pay money for frivolous claims. Please contact me for details".
- 39. This written publication falsely accuses Mr. McKevitz of being an extortionist and one that practices harassment. In addition, the post encourages others to contact Mr. Charan so he can further slander Mr. McKevitz.
- 40.Mr. Charan recklessly disregarded the truth with these attacks on Mr. McKevitz's character. The post was intended to harm Mr. McKevitz's reputation by lowering him in the estimation of the community and by detering third persons from associating or dealing with him. In addition, Mr. Charan intended to prejudice Mr. McKevitz's trade and business by intentionally mentioning his involvement with Montvale Air Park.
- 41.Plaintiffs believe that this libelous post was in fact posted by Defendant Narin Charanvattanakit aka Narin Charan. The Facebook name that created the post was "Narin Charan." Mr. Charan admitted that his alias is "Naran Charan" in document 14 of *David Meyer*, et al. v. Capital Alliance Group, et al, United States District Court, Southern District of California, 14-cv-2405 (another class action lawsuit against Mr. Charan also relating to violations of TCPA that was settled). In

addition, the "Naran Charan" Facebook page lists www.CapitalAlliance.com as a related website, which is the website for Defendant Capital Alliance Group (Exhibit 2).

PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff Andrew McKevitz demands this Honorable Court to award:

- 42. Damages of \$36,000.00 based upon use of an automatic telephone dialing system.
- 43.Damages of \$36,000.00 based upon violations of subscriber privacy rights.
- 44.Damages of \$100,000.00 against Defendant Narin Charanvattanakit aka Narin Charan for the tort of libel against Plaintiff Andrew McKevitz.
- 45. Damages for all violations total \$172,000.00.
- 46.Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest.
- 47. Court costs and other litigation expenses.
- 48.Plaintiff request further and general relief in law and equity that the Court deems appropriate.

Respectfully submitted this 26th day of March, 2018.

Stephen H. Byrd (BPR #030014)

9051 Executive Park Drive, Suite 200

Knoxville, TN 37923

865-250-1968 BunkyByrd@gmail.com Attorney for Plaintiff

		for the		
	Eastern Dis	strict of Tenn	essee	
Andrew Mck	Kevitz)		
v.)))))	ivil Action No.	
Defendant	(s))		
	SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL	ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address)	818 West 7th Street, Su	iite 930	tered Agent	
Within 21 days after s are the United States or a Unit P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you mus	service of this summons of ted States agency, or an of t serve on the plaintiff an cedure. The answer or m Stephen H. Byrd, Attorn 9051 Executive Park Dr	officer or emp answer to the notion must be ney at Law rive, Suite 20	loyee of the United States do e attached complaint or a mo e served on the plaintiff or p	escribed in Fed. R. Civ. otion under Rule 12 of
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answe	, judgment by default will er or motion with the cou	l be entered a rt.	gainst you for the relief dem	nanded in the complaint.
Andrew McKevitz Plaintiff(s)				
Date:			Signature of Clerk	k or Deputy Clerk

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

This summons for (name of individual and title, if any)	Capital Alliance Group		
reived by me on (date)	•		
☐ I personally served the summons on the individual	dual at (place)		
	on (date)	; or	
☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence	e or usual place of abode with (name)		
, a j	person of suitable age and discretion who re-	sides th	iere,
on (date) , and mailed a cop	by to the individual's last known address; or		
☐ I served the summons on (name of individual)			, who is
designated by law to accept service of process or	n behalf of (name of organization)		
	on (date)	; or	
☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because			; or
☐ Other (specify):			
My fees are \$ for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury that this inform	nation is true.		
	Server's signature		
	Stephen H. Byrd, Plaintiff's Attorn	ey	
	Printed name and title		
	9051 Executive Park Drive		
	9051 Executive Park Drive Suite 200 Knoxville, Tennessee 37923		

for the Eastern District of Tennessee Andrew McKevitz Plaintiff(s) Civil Action No. Capital Alliance Partners, LLC Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION To: (Defendant's name and address) Business Filings Incorporated, Registered Agent 818 West 7th Street, Suite 930 Los Angeles, California 90017 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Stephen H. Byrd, Attorney at Law 9051 Executive Park Drive, Suite 200 Knoxville, Tennessee 37923 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. CLERK OF COURT Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

This summons for (name of individual and	title, if any) Capital Alliance Partners, LL	_C
ceived by me on (date)	•	
☐ I personally served the summons on	the individual at (place)	
	on (date)	; or
☐ I left the summons at the individual	s residence or usual place of abode with	n (name)
	, a person of suitable age and dis	cretion who resides there,
on (date) , and m	ailed a copy to the individual's last kno	wn address; or
☐ I served the summons on (name of ind	ividual)	, who
designated by law to accept service of	process on behalf of (name of organization,)
	on (date)	; or
☐ I returned the summons unexecuted	because	;(
☐ Other (specify):		
My fees are \$ for trav	vel and \$ for services,	for a total of \$ 0.00
I declare under penalty of perjury that	this information is true.	
	Server's	signature
	Stephen H. Byrd,	Plaintiff's Attorney
	Printed nar	me and title
		ve Park Drive
		e 200 inessee 37923

	or the	
Eastern Distric	et of Tennessee	
Andrew McKevitz)))	
Plaintiff(s) V. Narin Charanvattanakit aka Narin Charan	Civil Action No.	
Defendant(s))	
SUMMONS IN	A CIVIL ACTION	
To: (Defendant's name and address) 1950 East 17th, 3rd Floor, # Santa Ana, California 90705		
A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on your are the United States or a United States agency, or an office P. 12 (a)(2) or (2)	er or employee of the United States of	described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an ansithe Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion whose name and address are: Stephen H. Byrd, Attorney a 9051 Executive Park Drive, Knoxville, Tennessee 37923	n must be served on the plaintiff or part at Law Suite 200	plaintiff's attorney,
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be a You also must file your answer or motion with the court.	entered against you for the relief der	nanded in the complaint.
	CLERK OF COURT	
Date:	Signature of Cloud	k or Demuty Clerk

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (name of individual and title, i	if any)	Narin Charanvattanakit aka Narin Charan		
as rec	eived by me on (date)	70	*		
	☐ I personally served the summons on the	indivi	idual at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's res	sidenc	ce or usual place of abode with (name)		
		, a	person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides tl	nere,
	on (date) , and mailed	d a co	py to the individual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summons on (name of individue	al)			, who is
	designated by law to accept service of proc	cess o	n behalf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summons unexecuted beca	ause			; or
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$ for travel ar	nd\$	for services, for a total of \$		0.00
	I declare under penalty of perjury that this is	inforn	nation is true.		
ate:		-	Server's signature		
			server s signature		
		-	Stephen H. Byrd, Plaintiff's Attorne	ey	
			Printed name and title		
			9051 Executive Park Drive Suite 200		
			Knoxville, Tennessee 37923		
		-	Server's address		

for the Eastern District of Tennessee Andrew McKevitz Plaintiff(s) Civil Action No. v. Mark Mendoza Defendant(s) SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION To: (Defendant's name and address) 1950 East 17th, 3rd Floor, # 300 Santa Ana, California 90705 A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Stephen H. Byrd, Attorney at Law 9051 Executive Park Drive, Suite 200 Knoxville, Tennessee 37923 If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. CLERK OF COURT Date: Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (1))

	This summons for (name	ne of individual and title, if any)	Mark Mendoza		
was rec	eived by me on (date)	*	•		
	☐ I personally served	the summons on the indivi	dual at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons	at the individual's residenc	ce or usual place of abode with (name)		
		, a]	person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides t	there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a cop	py to the individual's last known address; or		
	☐ I served the summo	ns on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to a	accept service of process or	n behalf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the summ	nons unexecuted because			; or
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$		0.00
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this inform	nation is true.		
Date:		-			***************************************
			Server's signature		
			Stephen H. Byrd, Plaintiff's Attorn	еу	
			Printed name and title		
			9051 Executive Park Drive Suite 200		
			Knoxville, Tennessee 37923 Server's address		

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	JORGE SHOOT, (SEE HISTROC.	HOND ON NEAT TAGE O	7 111151-0						
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Andrew McKevitz				DEFENDANTS Capital Alliance Gr	oun et al				
Andrew wickevitz				Capital Alliance Group, et al					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Blount (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Los Angeles County, CA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)					y, CA
Stephen H. Byrd, 865-25 9051 Executive Park Driv		N 37923							
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box Only)	III. CI	L ITIZENSHIP OF PI	RINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in	One Box f	or Plaintif
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government I	Not a Party)		(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		Incorporated or Pri		or Defenda PTF □ 4	ant) DEF □ 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 🗖 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	☎ 5
				en or Subject of a preign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT						here for: Nature of			
CONTRACT 110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 330 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 355 Motor Vehicle 350 Motor Vehicle 350 Motor Vehicle 350 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 386 Abestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 385 Property Damage 510 Motions to Vacate Sontence 530 General 535 Death Penalty Other: 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of		1	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other 21 USC 881 20 US	422 Appe	RTY RIGHTS rrights at at - Abbreviated Drug Application emark .SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g))	Sint Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 3430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange \$890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	convex from ate Court Cite the U.S. Civil State 47 U.S.C. 227 Brief description of Court Violations of Teles	Appellate Court	Reo are filing ((specify) Do not cite jurisdictional stat	er District tutes unless di	6 Multidistr Litigation Transfer iversity):	1 -	Multidis Litigatio Direct Fi	on - ile
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2		IA T	172,000.00		URY DEMAND:		□No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKE	ET NUMBER			
DATE 03/26/2018 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF RECORD					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	(JUDGE		MAG. JUI	OGE		

Case 3:18-cv-00131-JRG-HBG Document 1 Filed 03/27/18 Page 23 of 23 PageID #: 23